UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,236	09/26/2005	Shengyang Huang	F-8417	1828
	7590 06/17/200 HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER		
122 EAST 42N	D STREET	NG, EUNICE		
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,236	HUANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Eunice Ng	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed to the set of the set			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit are alternative and the approximate the sufficient reasons.	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I 3. Other:	, , , , ,		
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches storing a dialogue stream. Further, as described in paragraphs 83-84, the dialogue stream comprises a plurality of message documents, which contain data representing the contents of conversations (sentences). Thus, Hirose would fairly teach or suggest a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. Paragraph 185, teaches "keyword list generator breaks up sentences...according to a morphemic analysis...and extracts a plurality of words therefrom," which would contain first and second morphemes.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach a determination of a type of input including affirmation or negation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, since Hirose teaches evaluation based on matching (paragraph 177, 192 and 219), which would necessarily require determination of what the input is, including an affirmation or negation.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach topic identification information. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches a subject input box (for example in paragraphs 116 and 119) and retrieval (paragraph 188). Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach ranking according to the frequency of search of a piece of second morpheme information at the topic search unit. However, as indicated in the previous office action, Hirose at paragraphs 177, 189, and 198 teaches generating a keyword list, which includes information representing the frequency of appearance in the message documents contained in the dialogue streams and a related dialogue candidate list, and paragraph 258, as well as paragraph 192-194, teaches their ranking when displayed.